#### **BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS POWER	)
COOPERATIVE,	)
	)
Petitioner,	)
,	)
v.	) PCB 06-61
	) (CAAPP Permit Appeal—Air
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL	)
PROTECTON AGENCY,	)
,	)
Respondent.	Ĵ

#### NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

To: See attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016, the Joint Response to Board Questions was filed electronically with the Illinois Pollution Control Board, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto and is hereby served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

By:

XYAN G. RUDICH Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 69 W. Washington, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 814-1511

DATE: February 5, 2016

#### SERVICE LIST

Bradley P. Halloran Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

John T. Therriault Clerk of the Board Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP Andrew N. Sawula Kathleen C. Bassi Stephen J. Bonebrake Bina Joshi 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 6600 Chicago, Illinois 60606

#### **BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD**

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS POWER COOPERATIVE,	:
Petitioner,	:
v.	:
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTON AGENCY,	
Respondent.	

PCB 06-61 (CAAPP Permit Appeal—Air)

#### JOINT RESPONSE TO BOARD QUESTIONS

Pursuant to the Hearing Officer Orders dated January 6, 2016, Respondent, ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and Petitioner, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS POWER COOPERATIVE, by and through its attorneys, hereby submit the following joint response to the Illinois Pollution Control Board's ("Board") questions in the above-referenced cases.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Hearing Officer Orders directed the parties to respond to a set of six questions from the Board relating to the status of settlement discussions and appeal resolution. Below, the parties first provide brief background on the docket, followed by the parties' joint responses to the questions posed by the Board.

#### I. Background on PCB 06-61

PCB 06-61 is the appeal of the 2005 CAAPP permit for the Southern Illinois Power Cooperative's Marion Generating Station located in Marion, Illinois. As described in more detail below, the Illinois EPA has implemented a process to resolve the 2005 CAAPP permit appeals for coal-fired power plants that includes this docket.

1

#### II. The Parties' Answers to Board Questions 1-6

<u>Board Question 1</u>: Which permit conditions that were originally challenged have been resolved in settlement negotiations and which ones have not?

Answer: The Illinois EPA has jointly negotiated with permittees of Illinois coal-fired power plants to address common issues in their respective permit appeals. As a result, the parties have reached consensus regarding many of the contested conditions in the CAAPP permit that is the subject of this appeal. However, as discussed below, there is a set of conditions and permitting edits unique to each facility that must be addressed by Illinois EPA permitting staff and the respective permittee before the permitting documents can be released for public comment and review by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA").

<u>Board Question 2</u>: How are the unresolved permit conditions different from those facilities where the appeal has been resolved, for example, Newton (PCB 06-68)?

<u>Answer</u>: Like all of the Illinois coal-fired power plants, this facility has unique aspects about its configuration, emission units, operations, and/or applicable requirements that necessitate individual attention in the permitting documents. While the CAAPP permit for this facility shares many common conditions and appeal points with Newton and the other facilities, it will require plant-specific edits and changes that will need to be made before public notice and USEPA review.

<u>Board Question 3</u>: Has the facility been subject to new operational requirements since this appeal began, for example, state mercury requirements?

<u>Answer</u>: Yes. Such requirements that are derived from the Clean Air Act will be incorporated into the facility's CAAPP permit through the CAAPP's formal reopening process.

2

The incorporation of these additional rules or regulations into a CAAPP permit is a procedural requirement of Title V permitting. However, the absence of such requirements in a CAAPP permit does not affect the ongoing responsibility of a source to comply with rules or regulations upon their dates of effectiveness.

Board Question 4: Do any of those new requirements concern the permit conditions challenged in this appeal? If so, are the new requirements more stringent than the challenged permit conditions?

<u>Answer</u>: Based on the Illinois EPA's recent experience in working on permit reopenings, some of the additional requirements relate to, or overlap with, contested permit conditions. It is possible that some may appropriately be considered more stringent. However, as previously noted, any such requirements will have applied independently to a given source from the date of effectiveness of the affected rule or regulation. The reopening process will ensure that the facility's CAAPP permit contains all applicable requirements.

Board Question 5: When did the parties to this appeal last meet to discuss settlement?

<u>Answer</u>: The Illinois EPA and Petitioner counsel last met by conference call on January 28, 2016. Respondent and Petitioner have previously met numerous times, in-person and by phone, as part of the joint negotiation process, resulting in a resolution, in principle, of common issues. At this stage, the parties to the joint negotiation process are working through individual CAAPP permits with conference calls or in-person meetings, as needed.

<u>Board Question 6</u>: Which party made the last settlement proposal and who is reviewing that proposal? Does the proposal resolve the entire appeal or only one part of the appeal?

3

<u>Answer</u>: The parties are engaged in a systematic discussion on permit conditions for this appeal. The process will ultimately generate a set of permit changes that are acceptable to the parties and that must then be prepared for public notice and comment and USEPA review.

#### CONCLUSION

The parties continue to work diligently to resolve this complex permit appeal and to achieve the common goal of an effective, up-to-date operating permit for this facility, and will continue to participate in periodic status conferences with the Board Hearing Officer.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS POWER COOPERATIVE ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

BY: <u>/s/ Andrew N. Sawula</u> ANDREW N. SAWULA Schiff Hardin LLP Attorney for Petitioners One Westminster Place, Suite 200 Lake Forest, IL 60045 (847) 295-4336 asawula@schiffhardin.com

BY: <u>/s/ Ryan G. Rudich</u> RYAN G. RUDICH Assistant Attorney General Illinois Attorney General's Office 69 W. Washington St., 18th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 814-1511 rrudich@atg.state.il.us

> JAMES P. GIGNAC Environmental and Energy Counsel Illinois Attorney General's Office (312) 814-0660 jgignac@atg.state.il.us

Dated: February 5, 2016

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICÉ**

I, RYAN G. RUDICH, an Assistant Attorney General, do certify that I caused to be served this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016, the attached Notice of Electronic Filing and Joint Response to Board Questions on the parties named on the attached service list by placing a true and correct copy in first class postage prepaid envelopes and depositing same with the United States Postal Service at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, at or before the hour of 5:00 p.m.

RYAN G. RUDICH